App F IX 4

✓

Previous References
ML 17-33 — 67 74
17-33 — 1 3 4 17

1941 (ML)

ML File No 2 — 41
Serial Nos. 13, 14, 15, 16

Later References
ML 2-45-(1243)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos four

Part B for Jan 1942

SUBJECT

Revision of the scale of Conservancy establishment Honiara Town Municipality —

To the D C Mysore
Completed
ML 16) L 6526 - 7 Dy 30 - 1 - 42
Sanctions file

3812/L Revision of the scale of Conservancy Establishment Hunsur Town Municipality

S.R. General and Revenue Secretariat — M File No — of 1941—42 [App F III—2

Serial No

From the D.C. Mysore

D.3 — 1930/41—42 Dy 22-11-41

Requests sanction to the revision of the scale of Conservancy Establishment in the Hunsur Town Municipality.

1) It is seen that the municipality have exceeded even the sanctioned scale by Rs 12/- pm which has been objected to in audit. Sanction is sought to the continuance of the existing scale of Estt as it is considered to constitute the barest minimum below which it is not possible to go.

2) As the G.O sanctioning the original scale is wrongly misquoted a draft letter to the D.C. Mysore calling for a copy of it is submitted for approval.

Dy 26/11

Dy 26/11

3) Issue

27 11 41

To the D.C. Mysore

L 4600 Dy 29-11-41

Calls for correct refce

From the D.C. Mysore

No. D3-1930/41-2 dy 30-12-1941 furnishing the information called for

Issue H

29/1

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 04155—GPB—55 000— 3 10 41

Revision of the Scale of the Conservancy establishment of the Hamirpur Town Municipality

File No MC of 1941 — 383 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

The proposal is for a new head (Refer 12 below)

No 87/142 In the circumstances explained by the DC in his letter dt 22.11.41, (1) the irregularity committed by the Hamirpur Town MC Council in having varied the strength of the Conservancy staff beyond the scope of the Govt dt 31.7.34 for such a long time from 1934 may be condoned & (2) the present (actual) strength with an increase of Rs 12 pm in the total as indicated in the Proposition Statement may be ratified & sanctioned as provision is stated to have been made in the Budget, for the observation that the MC Chairman should have taken care to follow rules & orders (It may be noted that the MC by Cause in for blame, to the extent of being called upon to show Cause why it should not be superseded)

The Hamirpur Town MC has deviated from the Scale of establishment of sweepers & Scavengers sanctioned by Govt

P 2nd

Dy 8/1

Revenue — Conservancy Staff Hunsur Taluk

Serial No

in the year 1936 with the result that they have been incurring an excess expenditure of Rs 1 1/2 p.m. over the sanctioned scale. This is regularised but it is explained that the expenditure was absolutely necessary & was incurred every year & was provided for in the budget & yet sanctioned by Govt. Separate sanction should have been specifically got before spending the excess as above.

The Dy. Commr. Hassan has recommended that the scale of the conservancy establishment for this big town may however be revised as shown in the appended statement, involving an extra cost of Rs 1411/- p.a. This proposal may be approved, condoning the irregularity that has so far occurred & note the observation that standing orders should have been adhered to.

Law Secy for
9/12/42

May be approved

Minister (L S G)

Hsn
12/12/42

Offg Secy
21/12/42

Hsn
21/12/42

SECY L E D 290 GOVT OF MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L S G & P H
Dt 13/12/42
N 4367
NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

W D 04155—G1 B—55 000— 1-10-41

File No | of 194 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

Subd with a Sft Co for appl

26/1

26/1/[illegible]

To

The D C Hyderabad

The Comptroller

No L 65-26-27 dt 30 1 dt 2

Sanctions the proposition Sft relating to the Conservancy Estt in the Hussain Town Mlty

B

Mu 2-41-13

3012/L

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MYSORE DISTRICT

No D 3-1930/41-42 - Camp Hunsur
Encl Dated Mysore the 22nd November 1941

Enc Record

Mr CPM

FROM



K SUBBA RAO ESQ BA
Deputy Commissioner Mysore District
Camp Hunsur MYSORE

H V NARAYANA RAO, ESQ , B A ,LL B ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Law Department, *BANGALORE*

SIR

SUBJECT:- Revision of the scale of establishment of the Conservancy staff in the Hunsur Town Municipality

In forwarding herewith a copy of the resolution dated the 30th June 1941 of the Municipal Council, Hunsur, on the above subject received with the President's letter No H C 54/39-40 dated the 11th July 1941, I have the honour to state as follows

2 According to Government Order No 723-4/41 17-33-4 dated the 31st July 1934, the sanctioned scale of the Conservancy establishment in this Municipality is as under:-

Four Scavengers on Rs 10/-	Rs	40/-
Eight Sweepers on Rs 9/-	"	72/-
Three Sweepers on Rs 8/-	"	24/-
Seven Sweepers on Rs 7/-	"	49/-
Conservancy Daffedar	"	12/-
TOTAL	Rs	197/- per mensem

The present strength of this establishment is as follows:-

Two Scavengers on Rs 10/-	Rs	20/-
Eight Sweepers on Rs 9/-	"	72/-
Seven Sweepers on Rs 8/- (including 2 Cartmen)	"	56/-
Seven Sweepers on Rs 7/-	"	49/-
Conservancy Daffedar	"	12/-
TOTAL	Rs	209/- per mensem

This has resulted in an excess of Rs 12/- per mensem over the sanctioned scale The irregularity appears to have been observed by the local Audit Staff during the audit of the Municipal accounts relating to the year 1937-38 From a scrutiny of the accounts

and Registers maintained in the Municipal Office, it is seen that two Cartmen on Rs 6/- each were first employed with effect from the 1st March 1934 Their pay was raised to Rs 7/- from the 1st September 1934 and again to Rs 8/- from the 1st October 1939 To keep down the cost, only two Scavengers on Rs 10/- were employed against the sanctioned scale of four Sanction of Government was not obtained, either to the entertainment of the two Cartmen or to the reduction in the number of Scavengers. But, there is a resolution of the Municipal Council dated the 11th April 1934 (copy enclosed) approving the proposal of the Managing Committee to appoint two Cartmen on Rs 8/- each The defence of the Municipal Council is that the details of the Conservancy establishment actually employed have been furnished in the budgets of the Municipality for successive years and sanction of Government thereto obtained This explanation, however, is not satisfactory since, under the Rules, sanction to a budget provision is not enough Separate sanction of Government should have been obtained for varying the number and pay of the establishment

4 I have gone into the question of the needs of this Municipality with regard to the Conservancy staff Hunsur Municipality covers a big area and has a population of 8,000 Even the existing staff cannot be considered to be quite adequate I am satisfied that the present establishment is the barest minimum necessary for attending to Conservancy In the circumstances, I request that the irregularity noticed above may kindly be condoned and sanction accorded to the revision of the scale of the Conservancy establishment as per proposition statement enclosed The extra cost involved is Rs 12/- per mensem or Rs 144/- per annum Sufficient provision has been made in the sanctioned budget of

(continued on the next page)

the Current year

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

DBR/-

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L4600

Dated Bangalore 29th November

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Law Department

(Town

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District, Mysore

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No D3-1930/41-42 dated 22nd November 1941 recommending sanction to the revision of the scale of conservancy Establishment in the Hunsur Town Municipality, I am directed to request you to forward a copy of the Government Order sanctioning the original scale of the said Establishment, as the Government Order No L 723-4/M1 17-33-4 dated 31st July 1934 quoted by you is found to be incorrect and relates to quite another subject

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

for Secretary to Government
Law Department

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section Mg
File No 2-41
Serial No 14

Diary No of letter replied to 3012 L

No L4600

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

To The D C Mysore Distt,

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No D3 — 1930/41-42 dt 22-4-

Date of issue 29th Nov 1941

Signed by Mr. G Ramachandra Rao.

recommending sanction to the revision of the scale of conservancy establishment in the Hunsur Town Municipality I am directed to request you to forward a copy of the G O sanctioning the original scale of the said establishment, as the G O No L 723 4/Ft dated 31-7-34 quoted 17-33-4 ~~cited~~ by you

List of enclosures

Copied by Rao 28/11/41

Examined by

WD 03695—GPB—30 000—29 1 40

found to be
incorrect and relates to quite
another subject

I have etc

for Secy Law
2/26/11

26+1

Rev 2-41-15 3832/2

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MYSORE DISTRICT

No D3-1930 of 41-42
Encl one only

Dated Mysore the 30th DECEMBER *1941.*

FROM

K SUBBA RAO ESQ BA
Deputy Commissioner Mysore District
MYSORE

GEN & REV SECTION
MYSORE

To

H V NARAYANA RAO, ESQ , B A , LL B ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Law Department, *BANGALORE*

REGY LAW DEPT
GOVT OF MYSORE

SIR

With reference to your letter No L 4600 dated the 29th November 1941, calling for a copy of the Government Order, sanctioning the original scale of the Conservancy Establishment in the Hunsur Town Municipality, I have the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the Government Order No L 723-4/M1 17-33-74 dated the 31st July 1934 on the subject

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

HRK

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

R e a d -

Letter No D3-4192/33-34 dated the 23rd June 1934, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, recommending sanction to the Resolution of the Hunsur Municipal Council to appoint a Sanitary Daffedar on Rs 12/- per mensem and to revise the Conservancy establishment as noted on the margin, resulting in savings of Rs 5/- per mensem, or Rs 60/- per annum

PRESENT SCALE		PROPOSED SCALE	
6 Scavengers on Rs 10/- each per mensem - Rs 60/-		4 Scavengers on Rs 10/- each per mensem - Rs 40/-	
14 Sweepers on Rs 9/- each per mensem Rs 126/-		8 Sweepers on Rs 9/- each per mensem - Rs 72/-	
2 Sweepers on Rs 8/- each per mensem Rs 16/-		3 Sweepers on Rs 8/- each per mensem - Rs 24/-	
		7 sweepers on Rs 7/- each per mensem - Rs 49/-	
Total	Rs 202/-	Total	Rs 185/-
		Plus	12/-
			Rs 197/-
Savings - Rs 5/-			

O r d er No L 723-4/Ml 17-33-74,

Dated, Bangalore, the 31st July 1934

S a n c t i o n e d

Sd/- Subba Rao,

For Secretary to Government,
Law Department

'Copy'

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

HRK

G R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

3

Diary No of letter replied to

Section NL

File No 2-41

Serial No 16

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 30th Jany. 193 C

Signed by Hon. G. Ramachandra

Read— G.O No D 723-4/ml 17-33 -34 D 31 & 34 Sanctioning the appointment of a Sanitary Daffedar on a pay of Rs 12/- PM and the revision of the Conservancy Establishment in the Hunsur Town Municipality as noted in the margin

4 Scavengers on Rs 10/- P M each - Rs 40 /
8 Sweepers on Rs 9/- P M each Rs 72 /
3 -do- on Rs 8/- P M each - Rs 24 /
7 -do- on Rs 7/- P M each = Rs 49 /
~~One Sanitary Daffedar on Rs 12/- PM = Rs~~

May this be—

(i) printed ? ~~Rs~~ Rs — 197

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(ii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(vi) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

~~Order thereon—No~~ 2) Correspondence ending with letter No D3-1930/41-42 dt 30 12 41 from the D C Mysore stating that the said Municipality has varied ~~exceed~~ the Sanctioned Scale of Establishment mentioned in the G O read above, + also exceeded it to an extent ~~Rs~~ Rs 12/- for which no Competent Sanction appears to have been taken Objection was taken by the Local Audit Staff, during the audit of

of the Municipal Accounts for the
year 1937-38 and that the present Scale
of Establishment employed is the
barest requirements necessary ~~for attending~~
to the Conservancy and requesting, in
the circumstances stated, the condonation
of the irregularities ~~noted in audit~~
and sanction to the continuance of the
present existing Scale of Establishment
as shown in the Proposition Statt involving an extra cost of Rs 144 per annum
as it represents the minimum requirements for a big town as Hamirpur
sufficient provision ~~for the extra cost of Rs. p. pf~~
~~involved on account of revision~~,
for the purpose
having been made in the Budget of
the said Municipality for the year 1941-42

Note to be typed enough to fold copy is enclosed to us

Order No C 6526-27

List of Enclosure

Sanctioned with observation that
the MC should have adhered to in this behalf
Rules and Standing Orders issued from
time to time and ~~should~~ follow them
~~Rules~~ strictly without giving reason for such
irregularities in future

Copied by

Examined by

Dy Sec to Govt
Law Dept

To The Dc Mzfore wR
The Comptroller
~~The Asst Comr~~

WD 0523—GPB—22 500—16-3 37

26/1/42

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read —

Government Order No L 723-4/ML 17-33-74 dated 31st July 1934 sanctioning the appointment of a Sanitary Daffedar on a pay of Rs 12/- per mensem and the revision of the conservancy establishment in the Hunsur Town Municipality as noted in the margin *

4	Scavengers on	Rs 10/-	p m	each Rs 40/-	)
8	Sweepers on	" 9/-	"	" " 72/-	)
3	-do-	" 8/-	"	" " 24/-	)
7	-do-	" 7/-	"	" " 49/-	)

2 Correspondence ending with letter No D3-1930/41-42 dated 30th December 1941 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District stating that the said municipal Council has varied the sanctioned scale of establishment mentioned in the Government Order read above and also exceeded it to an extent of Rs 12/- per mensem without competent sanction and that Audit Staff have taken objection and requesting in the circumstances stated, the condonation of the irregularities and sanction to the continuance of the present scale of establishment as shown in the Proposition Statement involving an extra cost of Rs 144/- per annum as it represents the minimum requirements for a big town as Hunsur, sufficient provision having been made for the purpose in the Budget of the said Municipality for the year 1941-42

ORDER NO L 6526-27/MC 2-41-46

Bangalore, dated, the 30 January 1942

Sanctioned with the observation that the Municipal Council should have adhered to Rules and Standing Orders issued in this behalf from time to time

For Secretary to Government,
Law Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore (with records)
The Comptroller to Government